



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,335	12/17/2001	Eva Rcdci	053662-5002-01	4164
28977	7590	02/23/2004	EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1701 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2921			LI, QIAN JANICE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/023,335	Applicant(s) REDEI ET AL.	
	Examiner Q. Janice Li	Art Unit 1632	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Q. Janice Li. (3) _____.

(2) Kathryn Doyle, Appl. Rep. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 February 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3, 4, and 56.


Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JANICE LI
PATENT EXAMINER


Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative requested suggestions from the Examiner regarding how to overcome the standing rejections on record. The Examiner pointed out that the breeding increased the likelihood for obtaining a WKO rat with low scores in a force swim immobility test, but the phenotype as claimed encompasses those present in nature, thus, indistinguishable from the wild type WKO rats. Unless the breeding could generate a rat with a phenotype that could not be found in nature, the claims would not be allowable. Applicants' representative indicated that applicants have obtained rats with scores that lower than those found in nature, would change the scope of the claims along with a Declaration, and requested that the Examiner considering the filing even the prosecution is in the after final stage for financial reasons. The Examiner agreed to consider the filing.